LANDWISE: Impacts of land use and management on soil properties and flood risk



FIELD SURVEY

UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, University of Reading, British Geological Survey, Forest Research & Partners

Emily Trill, James Blake, Pete Scarlett, John Robotham, Alex O'Brien and Gareth Old UKCEH







Introduction



- Project aim: Evaluate the impact of land use/management related natural flood management measures for lowland catchments
- Broad-scale field survey overview and preliminary results
- Detailed field survey plans



Broadscale survey vs Detailed survey



- Broad scale field survey (completed)
 - 154 fields sampled once from 45+ farms over 2019-20
 - 4 different land uses: Arable with grass, Arable without grass, permanent grass and broadleaf woodland
 - 5 generalised soil types that compare to 3 soil types using RB209 classification: heavy soils, medium soils and shallow soils
 - Measures soil properties that affect infiltration but are less affected by rain (or not) on day of sampling – bulk density, texture, structure, organic matter.
- Detailed field survey (underway)
 - 3 locations/management comparisons with 2-6 fields at each location
 - Fields sampled multiple times over one year to capture change over time (20-21)
 - More measurements made with soil depth and spatial coverage
 - Measuring properties that change over time infiltration, soil water, surface roughness using invasive field measurement and non invasive samplers and drones





Broad-scale Field Survey: Overview

Broad-scale field survey

LANDWISE NFM

good structure


- Survey aim: Quantify land use/management impact on near-surface soil properties which affect water infiltration/storage
- Soil bulk density (porosity), organic matter, texture, structure, volume water content, aggregate stability
- Vegetation type, height and cover
- Co-produced with Farm Advisors and Landwise Working Group







Broad-scale field survey – W. Thames catchment









Broad-scale field survey – sampling

		Land use and management				
Geology	LANDWISE Soil Type	Ara Rotation with grass*	ble Rotation without grass	Grassland (permanent, est. 5+ yr.)	Woodland (broadleaf, mature)	
Carbonate (Chalk, Limestone)	Shallow over chalk or limestone	6	9	8	8	
	Free draining loamy ¹	6	8	8	8	
	Impeded drainage loamy/clayey	2	9	7	8	
Mudstone	Slowly permeable loamy/clayey	8	7	8	8	
	Floodplain or high groundwater loamy/clayey	4	7	8	7	

^{*} incl. grass only rotation (e.g. dairy), not just grass as break crop

¹ sometimes also over gravel superficial deposits overlying mudstone







Broad-scale field survey – methodology



Arable sampling - example


15 sample locations per field:

5 infield
5 trafficked (cropped headland or tramlines)
5 untrafficked margin
(uncultivated/uncropped rough margin,
avoid within 1 m of tree/hedge stems and
animal burrows)

3 VESS:

one sampling location from each of infield, trafficked and untrafficked










Field

Lab












Broad-scale Field Survey: Preliminary Results and Field Observations

Broad-scale field survey: preliminary results







- Conventional arable without grass in rotation, min till
 - infield areas have higher porosity than trafficked, but less than untrafficked margin






Broad-scale field survey: preliminary results







- Organic arable with grass ley in rotation, ploughed, disc & harrow, paddock grazed
 - some infield areas have similar porosity to untrafficked margin






Broad-scale field survey: preliminary results







- Organic arable with diverse ley grassland in rotation, zero tillage, limited/sensitive trafficking
 - infield areas have higher porosity than both trafficked and untrafficked margin






Broad-scale field survey – preliminary results








- Conventional arable without grass in rotation, cover crops, min till, direct drill, controlled traffic
 - infield areas have higher porosity than both trafficked and untrafficked margin






Main Effects: Land Use and Soil Class







Mixed Effect Model of Bulk Density

Fixed Effects: Land use, Soil, Land use x Soil, within field(Land Use)

Random Effects: Farm

Co-variate: Log(Organic Matter)


Adj R2 76.8%, models terms significant p<0.000





Main Effects: Within field variation by Land Use





In both arable classes, the trafficked area has significantly higher bulk density than the infield or untrafficked margin.

In grassland, trafficked areas have significantly higher bulk density than untrafficked areas.

Within Field Class

IN - Main field

TR – Trafficked

UN – Untrafficked margin


WD - Woodland







Co-Variate: Organic Matter and Bulk Density













Comparing different soil classification systems



SSEW Higher Categories	Landwise Soilscapes	SoilScapes	RB209	Think Soils	Generalised Geology
Lithomorphic	Shallow chalk or limestone	3	Shallow soils	Chalk and limestone soils	Carbonate
Brown	Free draining loamy	5, 6, 7	Medium soils	Medium soils/ Chalk and limestone soils	Carbonate
Pelosol/Argillic brown earths	Impeded drainage loamy/clayey	8, 9	Medium soils OR Deep clay/deep silty soils	Medium soils	Carbonate
Surface Water Gley	Slowly permeable loamy/clayey	18	Deep clay/deep silty soils	Heavy soils	Mudstone
Ground Water Gley	Floodplain or high groundwater loamy/clayey	20,22	Deep clay/deep silty soils	Heavy soils	Mudstone











- compaction, runoff pathways
- deeper soil unsaturated











- Heavy clay soil
 - water moving quickly downslope through soil macropore











- Heavy clay soil
 - near-surface saturated water rapidly ponds and runs off
 - deeper soil unsaturated











- Silty loam soil
 - evidence of surface runoff down tramlines













- Floodplain woodland
 - slowing flood flows moving across meander
 - · natural woody debris dams, slowing surface runoff to main channel





Broad-scale field survey – summary



- Interesting results so far...
- Importance of near-surface soil properties and preferential flow pathways
- Significant effects of land use and management on soil bulk density
- The effect of land use is greater than soil type, though both significant
- Trafficked areas have significantly higher bulk density than the infield or untrafficked margins
- Woodland porosity and organic matter significantly higher on same soil type
- Good correlation between soil organic matter and bulk density
- Effect of organic matter on bulk density stronger than soil type crucial as land use and management can affect organic matter content but not texture.
- Need to explore soil texture and details of different management systems within our dataset from conventional to conservation and organic agriculture.





Detailed Field Survey Overview

Research Design: Framework of NFM measures and measurements



- Greater spatial and temporal detail to capture field-scale heterogeneity under different land-based NFM measures
- Multiple sampling periods over an annual cycle at 3 focussed sites

Land-based NFM measures				
Soil/Geology	Management			
Shallow soils on Cotswold limestone Sandy loam/ sandy silt loam	 Arable without grass Arable with grass (rye & clover) Arable with grass (herbal ley) Crop: Winter wheat/barley			
Medium soils on Chalk Loams	 Controlled traffic & diverse rotation Conventional Winter cereals			
Heavy soil on mudstone	 Broadleaf woodland Permanent pasture 			
Clays	Rye grass			







Sampling strategy and statistical design

Between field replication. All field sampled for soil survey: Broad scale and key soil properties. This given replication N=3 for each management and more robust ANOVA/GLM/MixedModel statistics to demonstrate the management effect compared to soil type and other factors.

Field 1 Management A Field 2 Management A Field 3 Management A Field 1 Management B Field 2 Management B Field 3 Management B

Within field replication.
Individual fields selected for more
Intensive remote sensing based
analysis. N=1 (or 2, if possible)





Soil sampling with depth

- Soil samples collected at 5 depths between the surface and 100 cm depth (where possible)
- Analysis in the lab for bulk density, organic matter and soil moisture retention
















Infiltration rate and hydraulic conductivity

- Testing how fast water moves in to the soil
- Surface infiltration rate (Kunsat) using mini disk tension infiltrometers
- Saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) at 25 cm and 45 cm depth











Soil and vegetation root depth



- Soil cores up to 100cm depth
- Determine soil depth and horizons
- Record vegetation root depth








Detailed Survey - methodology

LANDWISE NFM

- Soil and vegetation root depth at all locations
- Infiltration rate at infield and untrafficked
- BD, SOM, Ksat, retention samples taken at in field and trafficked sampling locations
- Over 2 transects either side of the ERT and radar transect location








Soil moisture

LANDWISE NFM

- Portable radar rig, TDR & ERT probes
- Detailed surface coverage and soil depth to see variation over field









Drone measurements

- Multi-spectral survey to produce NDVI vegetation growth/stress and crop stages
- RGB camera orthophotos, DTMs, DEMs and surface roughness
- Thermal measurements












Field data used to compare to satellite data



- Satellite data will overlap with both portable rig and radar properties
- Regular repeat observations (8 orbits every 12 days)
- Independent of weather or daylight conditions
- Catchment-wide observations









• Thank you!

• emitri@ceh.ac.uk



